Pre-train, Prompt, and Predict: A Systematic Survey of Prompting Methods in Natural Language Processing

Pengfei Liu, Weizhe Yuan, Jinlan Fu, Zhengbao Jiang, Hiroaki Hayashi, Graham Neubig

Time: 2021-12-18 Reporter: Xiachong Feng







Trending of Prompt-based Papers



http://pretrain.nlpedia.ai/

ARR Nov.

Features Used 3.2 We consider two sets of features for each sentence: a small set of conversational structure fea- then compute their occurrence in the Enron cortures, and a large set of lexical features.

versational features from both the email and 200,000 features. The features derived are: charmeetings domain, and which consider both acter trigrams, word bigrams, POS tag bigrams, emails and meetings to be conversations comprised of turns between multiple participants. For an email thread, a turn consists of a single email sentence, and similarly for POS pairs. To derive fragment in the exchange. Similarly, for meet- VIN features, we take each word bigram w1,w2 ings, a turn is a sequence of dialogue acts by the and further represent it as two patterns p1,w2 and same speaker. The conversational features, w1,p2 each consisting of a word and a POS tag. which are described in detail in (Murray and 3.3 Classifier

Carenini, 2008), include sentence length, sen tence position in the conversation and in the cur rent turn, pause-style features, lexical cohesion, centroid scores, and features that measure how terms cluster between conversation participants and conversation turns.

Lexical features: We derive an extensive set of lexical features, originally proposed in (Murray et al., 2010) from the AMI and BC3 datasets, and pus. After throwing out features that occur less Conversational features: We extract 24 con- than five times, we end up with approximately word pairs, POS pairs, and varying instantiation ngram (VIN) features. For word pairs, we extract the ordered pairs of words that occur in the same

Domain Adaptation to Summarize Human Conversations ACL 2010

> In all of our experiments, we train logistic regression classifiers using the liblinear tooikit3. This choice was partly motivated by our earlier summarization research, where logistic regression classifiers were compared alongside support

Fully Supervised Learning (Non-Neural Network) **Features Engineering** TF-IDF, POS, Length CLS TAG LM

GEN





PLMs

• Typical paradigms of pre-trained LMs



• Attention mask patterns



• PLMs



Prefix LM

Encoder-

Decoder

UniLM1 [35]; UniLM2 [6]

T5 [141]; MASS [162]; BART [94]







Naïve Prompt Learning



Two Gaps



Why Prompt Learning?



Notation of Prompt Learning



Answer Mapping

Answer: A token, phrase, or sentence that fills [Z]

Filled Prompt: A prompt where slot [Z] is filled with any answer. **Answered Prompt:** A prompt where slot [Z] is filled with a true answer.

Prompt: A text where [X] is instantiated by input x but answer slot [Z] is not.

Prompt Function *f* : A function that converts the input into a specific form by inserting the input x and adding a slot [Z] where answer z may be filled later.

Input *x*: One or multiple texts

Examples

Туре	Task	Input ([X])	Template	Answer ([Z])
	Sentiment	I love this movie.	[X] The movie is [Z].	great fantastic
Text CLS	Topics	He prompted the LM.	[X] The text is about [Z].	sports science
	Intention	What is taxi fare to Denver?	[X] The question is about [Z].	quantity city
Text-span CLS	Aspect Sentiment	Poor service but good food.	[X] What about service? [Z].	Bad Terrible
Text-pair CLS	NLI	[X1]: An old man with [X2]: A man walks	[X1]? [Z], [X2]	Yes No
Tagging	NER	[X1]: Mike went to Paris. [X2]: Paris	[X1] [X2] is a [Z] entity.	organization location
Text Generation	Summarization	Las Vegas police	[X] TL;DR: [Z]	The victim A woman
	Translation	Je vous aime.	French: [X] English: [Z]	I love you. I fancy you.

Two Key Components









Prompt Engineering



Prompt Engineering



Manually created prompts

Prompt-tuning

Exploiting Cloze Questions for Few Shot Text Classification and Natural Language Inference

In-context learning

Language Models are Few-Shot Learners (GPT-3)



Automatically created prompts

Mining-based and Paraphrasing-based How Can We Know What Language Models Know?

Gradient Searching

AUTOPROMPT: Eliciting Knowledge from Language Models with Automatically Generated Prompts

Using Separate Model Making pre-trained language models better few-shot learners. GPT Understands, Too

Learning How to Ask: Querying LMs with Mixtures of Soft Prompts

Factual Probing Is [MASK]: Learning vs. Learning to Recall

Differentially Optimized



Answer Engineering





GPT-3

The three settings we explore for in-	context learning	Tra	ditional fine-tuning (not used for	GPT-3)	
Zero-shot		Fine	-tuning		
The model predicts the answer given only a natural language description of the task. No gradient updates are performed.		The model is trained via repeated gradient updates using a large corpus of example tasks.			
Translate English to French:	task description		sea otter => loutre de mer	example	
2 cheese =>	prompt		¥		
			¥		
One-shot			<pre>peppermint => menthe poivrée</pre>	example	
In addition to the task description, the model	sees a single		¥		
example of the task. No gradient updates are	e performed.				
Transfer Parts to Franks	tack departmention		Ŷ		
Translate English to French.	task description		•••		
sea otter => loutre de mer	example		plush giraffe => girafe peluche	example	
3 cheese =>	prompt				
			gradient update		
Few-shot	coop o form		cheese =>	prompt	
examples of the task. No gradient updates a	re performed.				
Translate English to French:	task description				
sea otter => loutre de mer	examples				
peppermint => menthe poivrée	+				
plush girafe => girafe peluche					
cheese =>	prompt				
	provider				



example #1

example #2

example #N

Text Classification







Text Classification: LM-BFF



Knowledge Mining





Knowledge Mining: P-Tuning





NER B-LOC 0 0 0 O O l_2 l_3 l_4 l_5 ι₆ Softmax/CRF $\overline{h_3}$ $[h_2]$ h_4 $[h_5]$ h_6 Encoder $|\overline{x_3}|$ $\left[x_{6} \right]$ $\left(x_{5}\right)$ x_2 $\left[x_{4} \right]$ x_1 held in Bangkok ACL will be Sequence Labeling Prompt Engineering Cloze + Human + Discrete Research Engineering

Token + Human + Discrete

Training	Bangkok is a Location entity t_2 t_3 t_4 t_5 t_6
Encoder	Decoder
$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \uparrow \\ ACL \\ will \\ be \\ held \\ c \\ $	k <pre> k</pre> t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 t_5 t_5 t_4 t_5 t_5 t_6
Template: <s></s>	<u>(candidate_span)</u> is a <u>(entity_type)</u> entity
Template: <s> Testing</s>	<u>(candidate_span)</u> is a <u>(entity_type)</u> entity <u>Bangkok</u> is a <u>Location</u> entity (scoring: 0.8) <u>Bangkok</u> is a <u>person</u> entity (scoring: 0.3) Bangkok is not a entity (scoring: 0.1)
Template: <s> Testing ACL will be held in Bangkok</s>	<u>(candidate_span)</u> is a <u>(entity_type)</u> entity Bangkok is a Location entity (scoring: 0.8) Bangkok is a person entity (scoring: 0.3) Bangkok is not a entity (scoring: 0.1)
Template: <s> Testing (ACL) will be held in Bangkok (ACL will) be held in Bangkok</s>	<u>(candidate_span)</u> is a <u>(entity_type)</u> entity <u>Bangkok</u> is a <u>Location</u> entity (scoring: 0.8) <u>Bangkok</u> is a <u>person</u> entity (scoring: 0.3) <u>Bangkok</u> is not a entity (scoring: 0.1) <u>ACL will</u> is a <u>Location</u> entity (scoring: 0.1)

Template-Based Named Entity Recognition Using BART, Findings of ACL 2021

Relation Extraction



KnowPrompt: Knowledge-aware Prompt-tuning with Synergistic Optimization for Relation Extraction

Text Generation





Prefix-Tuning: Optimizing Continuous Prompts for Generation, ACL 2021

Cross-modal



CPT: Colorful Prompt Tuning for Pre-trained Vision-Language Models

Cross-modal



CPT: Colorful Prompt Tuning for Pre-trained Vision-Language Models

Cross-modal



CPT: Colorful Prompt Tuning for Pre-trained Vision-Language Models

Part Conclusion



